



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/674,562 | 09/30/2003 | George W. Erhart | 3655/0303 PUS1 | 3849 |
| 47827 | 7590 | 03/03/2009 | | |
| MG-IP Law, PLLC PO BOX 1364 FAIRFAX, VA 22038-1364 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| DEANE JR, WILLIAM J | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2614 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 03/03/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/674,562

Applicant(s)

ERHART ET AL.

Examiner

William J. Deane

Art Unit

2614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William J. Deane.

(3) _____.

(2) Scott Wakeman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26Feb2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,804,346 (Mewhinney) and 5,854,832 (Dezanno).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that there was no speech recognition in the Mewhinny reference and that Mewhinny uses a script to determine the phases. The examiner will study the references and if required prepare a non-final action. If the references can be combined then the examiner will give a more in depth evaluation in the next Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William J Deane/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614